

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,676	04/06/2001	Tsutomu Tanaka	09792909-4970	6747	
26263 7	26263 7590 01/12/2004			EXAMINER	
SONNENSCI P.O. BOX 0610	HEIN NATH & ROS	VU, QU.	VU, QUANG D		
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		2811			

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			JAG G			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary		09/827,676	TANAKA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Quang D Vu	2811			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on ame	endment filed on 10/30/03.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 4-9,11 and 13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-9,11 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachmen	• •					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)			

- Application/Control Number: 09/827,676

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 4-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,246,070 to Yamazaki et al.

Yamazaki et al. (figures 1A-E, 2A-2E, 3, 11) teach a method of making a bottom-gate thin-film transistor comprising:

forming a gate electrode (102) on a substrate (100);

forming a gate insulating film (103) on the gate electrode (102);

forming a laminate on the gate insulating film (103), comprising:

forming a precursor film (106) for an active layer, and

forming a protective insulating film (105) directly on and in physical contact with the precursor film (106) without using an etching process, the protective insulating film (105) having a thickness of 100 nm or less (column 8, lines 31-34);

implanting a dopant when forming a source-drain region (figure 2A) of the precursor film (106) for the active layer through the protective insulating film (105) without etching the protective insulating film (105);

- Application/Control Number: 09/827,676

Art Unit: 2811

activating the implanted dopant so that a non-doped portion constitutes the active layer (column 11, lines 28-32); and

forming an interlayer insulating film (117) on the protective insulating film.

Regarding claim 5, Yamazaki et al. teach the active layer comprises a crystallized silicon film (column 9, lines 27-31). In thin film transistor (TFT), the crystallized silicon film is normally a polysilicon.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Kawasaki et al. teach a method of making a TFT, wherein, in the laminate forming step, an amorphous silicon film is formed on the gate insulating film (103), the amorphous silicon film is crystallized to form a crystallize silicon film, and the protective insulating film (105) is formed on the crystallize silicon film (106) (column 8, lines 4-10; column 9, lines 27-31).

Regarding claim 9, Yamazaki et al. disclose a heat treatment step is conducted to activate the impurity elements in the silicon film (column 11, lines 28-32). It is inherent that the heat treatment step would also recover the defects formed in the protective insulating film. Therefore, Yamazaki et al. inherently disclose the defects formed in the protective insulating film can be recovered after the heat treatment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,063,654 to Ohtani.

Regarding claim 8, the disclosures of Yamazaki et al. are discussed as applied to claims 4-7 and 9 above. Yamazaki et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing to form the oxide layer on the amorphous silicon film through thermal oxidation. However, Ohtani (figures 3A-E) teaches to form the oxide layer on the amorphous silicon film (203) through thermal oxidation (column 9, lines 23-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ohtani into the device taught by Yamazaki et al. because the oxide film through thermal oxidation improves wetting of the surface of the amorphous silicon film to suppress the solution from being repelled. The combined device shows that the protective insulating film is formed on a surface of the amorphous silicon film by surface oxidation of the amorphous silicon film, and then the amorphous silicon film is crystallized to form a crystallize silicon film.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,281,552 to Kawasaki et al.

Regarding claim 11, Yamazaki et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing a transparent electrode, and an alignment layer on a protective insulating film of the bottom gate thin film transistor to comprise a TFT substrate; and interposing a liquid crystal between the TFT substrate and a counter substrate provide with counter electrode. However, Kawasaki et al. (figure 6) teach forming an interlayer insulating film (151), a transparent electrode (161), and an

Art Unit: 2811

alignment layer (601) on a protective spacer film of the bottom gate thin film transistor to comprise a TFT substrate; and interposing a liquid crystal (605) between the TFT substrate and a counter substrate (602) provide with counter electrode (603). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kawasaki et al. into the device taught by Yamazaki et al. because it displays an active matrix liquid crystal display device for light imaging. The combined device shows a transparent electrode, and an alignment layer on a protective insulating film of the bottom gate thin film transistor to comprise a TFT substrate; and interposing a liquid crystal between the TFT substrate and a counter substrate provide with counter electrode.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,420,758 to Nakajima.

Regarding claim 13, Yamazaki et al. differ from the claimed invention by not forming an organic EL element driven by the bottom gate thin film transistor on the interlayer insulating film. However, Nakajima teaches forming an organic EL element (3045) driven by the bottom gate thin film transistor on the interlayer insulating film (3042) (see figure 21; column 23, line 24 – column 25, line 62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Nakajima into the method taught by Kawasaki et al. because the organic EL element improves the quality of the image.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-9, 11 and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang D Vu whose telephone number is 703-305-3826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/827,676

Art Unit: 2811

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

qv January 6, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TENNISON OF STATER 2800